

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
BARSTOW FIELD OFFICE
2601 Barstow Rd.
Barstow, CA 92311**

**Decision Record
and**

**Environmental Assessment
Finding of No Significant Impact**

for

**Kramer Sub-Region of the
West Mojave Coordinated Management Plan**

**Temporary Motorized Vehicle Use Closure
and Vehicle Routes Identification, San Bernardino County, California**

Prepared by:

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Barstow Field Office**

Environmental Assessment CA-680-02-18

Approved by _____
Tim Read, Manager **Date**
Barstow Field Office

DECISION RECORD

Environmental Assessment Finding of No Significant Impact

for

Kramer Sub-Region of the West Mojave Coordinated Management Plan

Temporary Motorized Vehicle Use Closure and Vehicle Routes Identification, San Bernardino County, California

DECISION

Based on review of the Environmental Assessment (CA-680-02-18) (EA) and my Finding of No Significant Impacts, my decision is to approve the temporary closure to motorized vehicle use of approximately 133,129 acres. The closed areas are within the boundaries of the California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA).

I have determined it necessary to use my authority under 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 8341.2(a) to close the Kramer Sub-Region of the West Mojave Coordinated Management Plan, consisting of approximately 133,129 acres, to vehicle use in order for BLM to provide additional protection for threatened and endangered species listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Therefore, this restriction will take effect immediately and will remain in effect until a record of decision is signed for the West Mojave Plan, which is expected to be signed in June 2003. Certain exceptions apply to government vehicles on official business.

Implementation of the temporary restriction will include signing and maintaining Open routes as well as preparation and distribution of a brochure/map to explain the need for the closure, illustrate which routes are available for use, present an appropriate land use ethic, and explain how the public may participate in the formal route designation process. Implementation will also include enhanced public outreach, visitor contact, and law enforcement above current levels. Sign maintenance, and visitor contact and law enforcement patrols will be regularly scheduled. The purpose of visitor service contacts will be to achieve voluntary compliance of use of the signed routes. Additionally, an effort will be made to develop a force of volunteers that utilize this area to help with public outreach and sign and trail maintenance. Maps and brochures are available at the Barstow Field Office, 26001 Barstow Road, Barstow CA, 92311.

RATIONALE

This decision is necessary because desert tortoise populations throughout the California Desert have been reduced considerably over the last several years as a result of a variety of causes. Desert tortoise experts testified in July 2001 as to the substantial decline in the tortoise population within the CDCA and the need for affirmative action to protect and stabilize the remaining population (Blincoe, et al.v. BLM, CA-690-01-02; CA-690-01-03, CA-690-01-04, CA-680-01-03, CA-680-01-04, CA-680-01-05, CA-680-01-06, Decision dated August 24, 2001, p.20 et seq)). The desert tortoise is federally listed as a threatened species by the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) in accordance with the Endangered Species Act (ESA). By taking this interim closure action, BLM will provide additional protection for the threatened desert tortoise and its habitat while a long-term management strategy is developed. BLM is currently developing such strategy as part of the West Mojave plan which is scheduled for completion in the June 3, 2003.

On March 16, 2000, the Center for Biological Diversity, et al (Center) filed for injunctive relief in U.S. District Court, Northern District of California (Court) against BLM. The Center alleged the BLM was in violation of Section 7 of the ESA by failing to enter into formal consultation with the FWS on the effects of adoption of the CDCA Plan, as amended, upon threatened and endangered species. Instead of litigating the case, and facing a possible injunction of all authorized desert activities, BLM entered into five stipulated agreements, including the stipulation which includes this closure.

Environmental Assessment CA-680-02-18

PROPOSED ACTION

Although precipitated by the Center's lawsuit, BLM has initially reviewed its current management of the Kramer area under the CDCA plan as it relates to desert tortoise. As an interim measure, BLM implements this closure to provide additional protection to the tortoise pending implementation of the yet to be completed West Mojave plan. By implementing this interim closure, BLM ensures compliance with sections 7(a) and 7 (d) of the ESA. Section 7(a)(1) of the ESA provides that Federal agencies are to utilize their authorities to further the purposes of the Act by carrying out programs for the conservation of listed species (16 USC 1536(a)(1)). Section 7(d) of the ESA provides that after initiation of consultation under §7(a)(2), a Federal agency shall not make any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources with respect to agency action which has the effect of foreclosing the formulation or implementation of any reasonable and prudent alternative measures which would not violate the requirements of the ESA.

BLM initiated consultation with Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) on the CDCA Plan on January 31, 2001. A Biological Opinion on the CDCA Plan is expected later this year. BLM will consult on the West Mojave plan prior to issuing decision records.

NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

Under the no action alternative the Kramer Sub-Region would continue to receive high levels of habitat degradation further leading to the decline of Desert Tortoise population. Completion of the WEMO process will address route designations in the near future, however, the continued loss of desert tortoise habitat worsens the likelihood of recovery of the species.

PLAN CONFORMANCE

The interim closure of this area is consistent with the *California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA) Plan*, 1980, as amended. The CDCA Plan contains general and specific direction for protection of listed species, such as the taking of appropriate action, including closure of routes and areas (CDCA Plan, page 82, March 1999 reprinted version).

43 CFR 8341.2(a) provides that the authorized officer shall immediately close an area when he determines that OHV use is causing or will cause considerable adverse effects upon soil, vegetation, wildlife, wildlife habitat, cultural resources, historical resources, threatened or endangered species, other authorized uses, or other resources. Because tortoise populations in the CDCA are in substantial decline as a result of OHV and other impacts, and the issuance of a record of decision on the West Mojave plan is not anticipated until June 2003, BLM concludes immediate closure under 43 CFR 8341.2(a) is necessary. There is a considerable body of literature that indicates OHV use and related recreational activities can have adverse effects upon the tortoise (references cited list).

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

The Fremont -Kramer Critical Habitat Unit is in the Western Mojave Recovery Unit for the desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*), a State and Federally listed as threatened species. The numerous OHV roads/trails in the area impact the constituent elements (cover from a fairly contiguous shrub canopy with moderate interspaces, uncompacted soils suitable for burrowing, a healthy plant community with a low amount of non-native species and low to moderate diversity, and a small amount of anthropogenic induced disturbances) necessary for desert tortoise habitat.

Barstow Field Office Field Staff have reported an increase in OHV use in the Kramer Sub-Region over the past several years. Evidence of this increase in use, has been the increased interest in local communities in the management of off highway vehicles.

The desert tortoise was listed in 1989 as a State threatened species, and by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as a federal threatened species on April 2, 1990. The Recovery Plan for the Mojave Population of the desert tortoise, prepared by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 1994 contains a summary of the current situation regarding the Mojave population of the desert tortoise. The major findings in 1994 were:

The most serious problem facing the remaining desert tortoise populations in the Mojave region is the cumulative load of human and disease-related mortality accompanied by habitat destruction, degradation and fragmentation. Desert

tortoises are often struck and killed by vehicles on roads and highways, and mortality of desert tortoises due to gunshot and off-highway vehicles is common in parts of the Mojave region.

In drought years, tortoises forage over larger areas and thus have a greater probability of encountering potential sources of mortality. Roads and urban areas form barriers to movement and tend to create small, local populations which are more susceptible to extinction than large, connected ones.

The Bureau of Land Management manages Category I desert tortoise habitat (in which the Kramer Sub-Region is located) to maintain stable, viable populations of the desert tortoise; to protect the existing tortoise habitat values; and increase populations where possible.

In the western Mojave, desert tortoises occur primarily in valleys, on alluvial fans, bajadas, and rolling hills in saltbush, creosote bush, and scrub steppe plant communities.

Due to the low rates of population growth exhibited by the desert tortoise, expected to be 1% even under favorable conditions, no population can stand loss rates of breeding adults as high as those reported in the populations of the Mojave region (approx. 60 % decline from 1978 to 1992) without serious threat of extinction.

(U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994)

Recent tortoise surveys have showed that there is a continued decrease in Tortoise population region wide and specifically in the Kramer sub-region. The continued population decrease intensifies the need to take immediate action.

BLM recognizes that the interim closure will displace some OHV users. OHV users who utilized the area to get away from the crowds, will be likely to utilize other areas. However, the closure will not have a significant negative impact on recreation since the closure is temporary and other areas such as Johnson Valley and Stoddard Valley Open Areas can fully accommodate the displaced users.

APPEAL RIGHTS: This decision is effective immediately. This decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 4 and the enclosed Form 1842-1. If an appeal is taken, your notice of appeal must be filed in this office (at the above address) within 30 days from receipt of this decision. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error.

If you wish to file a petition pursuant for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by the Board, the petition for a stay must accompany your notice of appeal (43 CFR 4.21). A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below. Copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay **must** also be submitted to each party named in this decision and to the Interior Board of Land Appeals and to the appropriate Office of the Solicitor (see 43 CFR 4.413). If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

Standards for Obtaining a Stay

Accept as otherwise provided by law or other pertinent regulations, a petition for a stay of a decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) the relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
- (2) the likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
- (3) the likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
- (4) whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

References

Federal Register Vol 54, No. 149 pp. 32326-32331, Emergency Listing as Endangered, August 4, 1989.

Federal Register Vol 55, No. 63 pp. 12178-12190, Regular Rule-Making Listing as Threatened, April 2, 1990.

Federal Register Vol 59, No. 26 pp. 5820-5866, Critical Habitat Final Rule, February 8, 1994.

Sweitzer, Harvey C., Administrative Law Judge; Decision of August 24, 2001; Appeal of Bureau of Land Management Final Grazing Decision and Decision Record; Richard Blincoe and Blincoe Farms, et al.